

Remarks

Specification:

The abstract of the invention was amended to better conform with USPTO requirements.

Rejections Under 35 USC 112:


Claims 13 and 14 were rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being omnibus-type claims. These claims have been cancelled.

Claim Objections:

Claims 3, 5, 8, 9, 11, and 12 were rejected under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being improper form. These claims have been amended to overcome the objections.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein; and no amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references. As the Applicant has overcome all substantive rejections given by the Examiner the Applicant contends that this Amendment, with the above discussion, overcomes the Examiner's rejections to the pending claims. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the application. If the Examiner is of the opinion that any issues regarding the status of the claims remain after this response, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned representative to expedite resolution of the matter. Finally, please charge any fees (including extension of time fees) or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 502117.

Respectfully Submitted,
Gibbs, ET AL.

by 

Kenneth A. Haas

Reg. No. 42,614

Phone: (847) 576-6937

FAX: (847) 576-3750